01 J : I hear, I I see in his in his [writing,] I hear a voice, I hear his voice. (1.0) Whereas
[(draws a finger through the air like a pencil $)$ ]
myself included, I I (0.8) am not a good writer. (1.0) Um [[Ohhh]]

$$
[[(\text { puts her head in her hands })]]
$$

(I'm) so: struggling with my [writing.] But I- I I I hear I see that he- somehow the first [.hhh]
impression I got when I read that paper or in his class in his other writing (1.0) he I- I don't see:, I don't hear: um tch oh (1.0) I don't see so much of this. [Such as]
[(makes air-quotes)]
According to this [writer,] he said [this,] uh there's this (0.8) limitation of this,
[(scoops voice to create simplistic air)]
there's a gap and therefore we should look at this. From this way. The way he writes it is- there is that, but [everything is embedded.]
[(circles hand around face)]
It's not- it's not [[sequential.]] .hh (1.0) uh [[The- the the w- the]] um tch [[(steps flat hand down several levels)]]
It's not, okay [this] is what this writer said, [okay] I disagree because [there's]
[(steps flat hand down a level)]
something wrong, and [[this]] is the new way.
[[(steps flat hand down a level)]]
[This] is the new pers[[pective.]] You don't hear that. You don't hear [(slices hand for emphasis)]

## [[Mmm]]

that (2.0) mm formulaic way. You don't see that formula. It's it's it's something different. It's a new- it's a confidence that I see. In his writing. [That] That he's [ Hmm ]
willing to even challenge the (2.0) uh (1.8) the the conventional academic style. (2.0) uh (1.0) Think that's (1.0) that's what I felt.

